

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

THOMAS R. JOLIN, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

AT&T, Corp.

Defendants.

)
)Case No. 3:16-cv-04863-VC

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER DISMISSING
ACTION

1 The Court, having considered the submissions of Plaintiff Thomas R. Jolin (“Named
2 Plaintiff”) and Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company (“Pac Bell”)¹ (together, “the Parties”),
3 and having considered the oral presentation by counsel for all Parties, and good cause appearing
4 therefor, this Court orders as follows:

- 5 1. The individual causes of action of Plaintiff Thomas R. Jolin are hereby dismissed with
6 prejudice;
- 7 2. The class allegations asserted in the operative complaint in this action are dismissed
8 without prejudice to any unnamed class members;
- 9 3. Defendant shall give notice of this dismissal to the Communications Workers of
10 America: Local 9400;
- 11 4. Defendant shall file proof of service of such notice of the dismissal of this action on the
12 Communications Workers of America: Local 9400 within fourteen (14) days of entry of
13 the Order dismissing the action; and
- 14 5. Each of the Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees.

15
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 Dated: February 14, 2018

19 
HON. VINCE CHHABRIA

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 ¹ The Parties previously agreed that Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company erroneously was sued as “AT&T Corp.”
28 *See Larson v. Pacific Bell Telephone Company*, Case No. 3:16-cv-04858-VC (ECF 12, 20, 40).